

Indicators of Development of Meaning in Context: This refers to the nature of the interaction between	Rating: 1= low, 4= high				
communication partners				-	
	1	2	2	3	4
Is there evidence of new nuanced meaning between communication partners? (Is there something					
different/unique about the interaction between the					
communication partners? This can include different use of a					
symbol or word, and tone of voice to indicate a creative use					
of symbols in interaction.)					
How would you describe the level of emotional resonance					
between the two communication partners in this interaction?					
Were they responsive to each other on an emotional level? How long was the interaction between the communication					
partners sustained?					
What was the level of satisfaction/enjoyment that was					
derived from this interaction?					
How would you rate the overall level of meaning developed in this interaction? Rating 1–4: 1: Formalistic, 2: Literal, 3: Extended, 4: Extensive/Versatile					
Indicators for Participation: This section refers particularly to the person who uses AAC	Ratir	ng: 1	= lo	w, 5=	high
	1	2	3	4	5
Overall clarity of individual's messages					
Understanding of messages from communication partner					
Follow-up on partner's utterances					
Accuracy in interpreting messages within context					
Frequency of participation					
Use of multiple modes of communication					
Use of different language functions					
Speed and accuracy of sending messages					
Use of scripted (pre-recorded) responses					
Clarity/ease of understanding of communication by partner					
Overall Rating of Participation (Frequency and nature of participation) Rating 1–5					

Rating: 1= low, 5= high

Indicators for Engagement: This refers particularly to the individual who uses AAC

	1	2	3	4	5
Attention to the other					
Interest in the other/curiosity to get to know the other					
Awareness of the other's emotions in context					
Awareness of own emotions					
Awareness of common ground with the other (perspective taking)					
Awareness of the others' ease/difficulty in understanding them					
Relevance of responses in context					
Evidence of development of meaning across exchanges, for example, instances of shared meaning and affirmation of feeling understood					
Level of Engagement in Interaction: Overall Rating					
Engagement and Participation: Plotting on four quadrants					

